

TECHNOLOGY BOLSTERS COMMISSIONING OF AIRFLOW AND OTHER COMFORT SYSTEMS

BY David Meyers, AIA, CxA, PMP, LEED AP, and Matthew VanDeCreek, PE, CEM, CMVP

Technologies are both available and advancing to make terminals, towers and other airport facilities even smarter. Such upgrades are extending beyond the building automation system (BAS) to further improve the control of airflow and temperatures.

Technology is enabling airports to improve functionality, client comfort and financial performance. The benefits are arriving even as leaders deal with the delicate balance all airports face: providing an inviting and secure environment for passengers while minimizing costs of utilities and operations and maintenance (O&M).

For the past 30 years, airport leaders have turned to engineering firms and equipment vendors to generate enough savings through retrocommissioning, or RCx, to finance necessary efficiency improvements. And it has worked: Airports have reduced energy consumption by 15 percent to 20 percent, and O&M costs have declined as crews have been dedicated to actually correcting problems rather than having to also diagnose them before making repairs (and then having to document all the work afterward).

RCx is a great start, but more can be achieved with a smart building approach using building data analytics. It is no longer good enough to rely only on industry migration of improvements in energy consuming equipment efficiencies. Today's challenge is to use the information and data available from the BAS and integrated enterprise systems to proactively and dynamically drive energy consumption toward the technical potential. Monitoring-based commissioning (MBCx or MCx) provides the tools and processes to capture energy savings that are typically unrealized after energy audit and retrofits are installed. It takes advantage of data that is often already available, but unutilized, to optimize operation of facilities and

prioritize repair needs, saving anywhere from 5 percent to 25 percent. Note that it is a continuous approach, not a periodic activity scheduled on a facility manager's calendar.

Use of fault detection and diagnostic software, or FDD, also is key. FDD compares data — typically obtained from the facility's building automation system — to pre-entered and/or customized algorithms to determine whether current operations are deficient in any way. FDD goes a step further by providing feedback to facility operators regarding the nature of the identified issue, including its duration, energy impact and recommendations for remedy or repair. Integration of FDD software with enterprise-level software packages also can be used to automatically generate work orders, estimate repair and replacement costs, prioritize cost-benefit ratios and provide information in dashboards for operators and public relations.

Most software solution packages for FDD technologies are BAS vendor- and product-agnostic. It is common to use a software solution provider and a separate integration firm to manage large-scale data integration and security requirements of a program of this size. Most provide two approaches to installation: on-site and remotely hosted. Key differences involve where security protocols are installed, how they are maintained, and how they influence data acquisition and communication with integrated systems. Depending on the hardware used by the BAS and other enterprise systems, some additional field panels and/or middleware may be required. Among systems that can be integrated are BAS, enterprise asset management (EAM), operations and maintenance, utilities, budgeting, costs, flight data, and multilevel reporting.

It is important to begin the process with a clear understanding of a client's goals. A field evaluation of hardware, circuits, sensors and communication protocols can determine if the system is sufficiently ready for adaptation of FDD software. It also can

